

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

CHRONO
Leg

26 JUN 1985

OLL 85-1754/2

The Honorable Daniel A. Mica
Chairman
Subcommittee on International
Operations
Committee on Foreign Affairs
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter is in response to your request for the views of the Central Intelligence Agency concerning an amendment by Senator Mathias to the Senate version of the State Department Authorization Act that would authorize the Secretary of State to test appropriate means of increasing employment of qualified spouses of American personnel assigned to United States missions.

We are preparing a response that contains our views regarding the ramifications of this proposal on Agency personnel overseas, which we will shortly send to you following appropriate Administration coordination and clearance. This letter is also being provided to Ranking Minority Member Olympia J. Snowe.

Sincerely,

/s/Charles A. Briggs

Charles A. Briggs
Director, Office of Legislative Liaison

Distribution:

Original - Addressee (Congressman Mica)
Addressee (Congresswoman Snowe)
1 - ER (85-2419)
1 - DDI
1 - DDA
1 - DDO
1 - FYI- [] D/Pers
1 - D/OLL

1 - DD/OLL
1 - OLL Chrono
1 - Leg/Subject - Misc. Pers.
1 - DP Signer
LEG/OLL [] :jb (25 June 1985) STAT
STAT

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

26 JUN 1985

OLL 85-1754/3

The Honorable Olympia J. Snowe
Ranking Minority Member
Subcommittee on International
Operations
Committee on Foreign Affairs
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Snowe:


This letter is in response to your request for the views of the Central Intelligence Agency concerning an amendment by Senator Mathias to the Senate version of the State Department Authorization Act that would authorize the Secretary of State to test appropriate means of increasing employment of qualified spouses of American personnel assigned to United States missions.

We are preparing a response that contains our views regarding the ramifications of this proposal on Agency personnel overseas, which we will shortly send to you following appropriate Administration coordination and clearance. This letter is also being provided to Chairman Mica.

Sincerely,

/s/Charles A. Briggs

Charles A. Briggs
Director, Office of Legislative Liaison

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 27 June 85
TO: OLL Registry		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS: Edith: The Front Office has already sent out a "Chrono" copy, but this was left behind in our office. This needs to be attached to the chrono copy of the two letters to Mica and Snowe. <i>This completes Action.</i> 		
FROM: Leg/Pat		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO.
1 FEB 56 241REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT

STAT

Office of Legislative Liaison

Routing Slip

TO:	ACTION	INFO
1. D/OLL		X
2. DD/OLL		X
3. Admin Officer		
4. Liaison		X
5. Legislation	X	
6.		X
7.		X
8.		X
9.		
10.		

SUSPENSE 24 June 85
Date

STAT

Action Officer: _____

Remarks:
Action completed of LTRS DTD 26 June to Mica & Snowe.

_____/ 18 June 85
 Name/Date

STAT

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT**ROUTING SLIP**

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR		X		
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI		X		
6	DDA		X		
7	DDO		X		
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL	X			
14	D/PAO				
15	VC/NIC				
16	D/PERS		X		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		<u>25 June 85</u> Date			

Remarks

TO #13: Please prepare an appropriate response for DCI signature.

Executive Secretary

17 June 85

Date

STAT

3637 (10-81)

85- 2419

Congress of the United States

Committee on Foreign Affairs

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

LEGISLATIVE LIAISON

85-1754

LEE H. HAMILTON, INDIANA
GUS YATRON, PENNSYLVANIA
STEPHEN J. SOLARZ, NEW YORK
DON BONKER, WASHINGTON
GERRY E. STODDS, MASSACHUSETTS
DAN MICA, FLORIDA
MICHAEL D. BARNES, MARYLAND
HOWARD WOLPE, MICHIGAN
GEO. W. CROCKETT, JR., MICHIGAN
SAM GEJDENSON, CONNECTICUT
MERVYN M. DYMALLY, CALIFORNIA
TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
PETER H. KOSTMAYER, PENNSYLVANIA
ROBERT G. TORRICELLI, NEW JERSEY
LAWRENCE J. SMITH, FLORIDA
HOWARD L. BERMAN, CALIFORNIA
HARRY REID, NEVADA
MEL LEVINE, CALIFORNIA
EDWARD F. FEIGHAN, OHIO
TED WEISS, NEW YORK
GARY L. ACKERMAN, NEW YORK
BUDDY MACKAY, FLORIDA
MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZONA
ROBERT GARCIA, NEW YORK

WILLIAM D. BROOMFIELD, MICHIGAN
BENJAMIN A. GILMAN, NEW YORK
ROBERT J. LAGOMARSINO, CALIFORNIA
JIM LEACH, IOWA
TOBY ROTH, WISCONSIN
OLYMPIA J. SNOWE, MAINE
HENRY J. HYDE, ILLINOIS
GERALD B.H. SOLOMON, NEW YORK
DOUG BEREUTER, NEBRASKA
MARK D. SILJANDER, MICHIGAN
ED ZSCHAU, CALIFORNIA
ROBERT K. DORNAN, CALIFORNIA
CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, NEW JERSEY
CONNIE MACK, FLORIDA
MICHAEL DEWINE, OHIO
DAN BURTON, INDIANA
JOHN MCCAIN, ARIZONA

JOHN J. BRADY, JR.
CHIEF OF STAFF

June 12, 1985

Mr. William J. Casey
Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

Enclosed is a copy of a draft bill which would require the Secretary of State to conduct and evaluate a demonstration project allowing chiefs of missions abroad to employ spouses of certain foreign service personnel to perform professional services for the mission as well as work in the local community. This proposed legislation may soon be introduced as an amendment to the Senate bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 1986 and 1987 for the Department of State, the U.S. Information Agency, and the Board for International Broadcasting. As the State Department authorization legislation which passed the House on May 9th did not include this language, we are requesting your agency's evaluation of this proposal prior to its becoming a conference issue.

We understand that the proposal means to address the problem of the two-career family. A spouse of a career foreign service officer, who has professional credentials and who may wish to continue working while overseas, currently has little opportunity to find such employment.

we are interested in your evaluation of the possible ramifications of this proposed program on your overseas personnel as well. Your comments would help us to become aware of any potential problems associated with such a spousal employment program. We would also appreciate any recommendations to make the proposal more reflective of your agency's concerns.

STAT

Mr. William J. Casey
June 12, 1985


We appreciate your assistance in this matter, and apologize for the short time frame in which we will need your response.



DANIEL A. MICA
Chairman
Subcommittee on
International Operations

DM/OS: CWmg
Enclosures

Sincerely,



OLYMPIA J. SNOWE
Ranking Minority Member
Subcommittee on
International Operations

received some \$2 million from other Federal agencies.

Other groups, such as the American Council of Young Political Leaders and the U.S. Youth Council, provide trips abroad for young American political leaders. Some individuals have taken 20 or more trips under the aegis of these organizations. In spite of an impressive board, both these organizations exist in the so-called Private Sector Program almost entirely on Government money.

My amendment will guarantee a partnership between the private sector and the U.S. Government for these exchanges.

It is financially prudent, very moderate, and will increase the taxpayers' value for the dollar.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, we are pleased to accept this amendment. We believe it has constructive intent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment (No. 297) was agreed to.

Mr. ZORINSKY. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. LUGAR. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. MATHIAS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment of the Senator from North Carolina be laid aside temporarily so that I may offer two amendments.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 298

Mr. MATHIAS. Mr. President, I send the first amendment to the desk and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The bill clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Maryland [Mr. MATHIAS] proposes an amendment numbered 298.

Mr. MATHIAS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

PILOT PROJECT FOR FOREIGN SERVICE ASSOCIATES

"Sec. — Pilot Project for Foreign Service Associates.

(a) It is the sense of the Congress that the national interest of the United States would be well served by making more productive use in U.S. missions abroad of the resources that spouses of American personnel assigned to missions abroad are qualified to provide.

(b) The Secretary of State is authorized to design, conduct and evaluate a pilot project to test appropriate means of increasing employment of qualified spouses of American personnel assigned to U.S. missions. The intent of the pilot project shall be to construct a feasible program within which spouses' education, training and relevant work experience can be used effectively within the mission and in the furthering of U.S. interests in the host country.

the design phase of the pilot project upon enactment of this Act. He shall report to the Congress by February 1, 1986, on the design of the project and plans for its implementation and evaluation.

Mr. MATHIAS. Mr. President, this is a proposal to authorize a pilot project within the Department of State for the better employment of the talents of spouses of foreign service officers. It is experimental in nature. It would be designed within the Department of State. It would look at the problems which exist in many foreign service families that have to accommodate the American lifestyle, in which there are often two breadwinners in the family, and the realities of life on diplomatic posts. I think it provides an opportunity to study this problem and to devise some solution to it, which has so far eluded us.

Mr. President, the Foreign Service, our front line of diplomats around the world, must find a way to accommodate an American domestic fact-of-life—two-income, two-career families.

The national interest of the United States would be well served by making more productive use of the education, training, and relevant work experience of spouses of American personnel assigned to our missions abroad.

Spouses face unique circumstances in the Foreign Service. Typically, their husbands and wives are assigned to a series of foreign posts, usually in 2- and 3-year stretches. Spouses often have little initial contact with the broader community in the host country, and by the time they may begin to make some contacts, they move on. Spouses often have to give up or suspend their own careers to accompany their husbands or wives to a foreign mission.

Tours abroad are separated by assignments in Washington, where the couple plunge back into American life, only to find it difficult to pull up stakes when the time comes to go abroad again. Spouses are increasingly reluctant to leave remunerative jobs and appealing career opportunities in the United States.

Career opportunities for their spouses is a chief concern of new Foreign Service officers, who average 31 years of age. More than 80 percent of them have advanced degrees. Their spouses also tend to have advanced degrees and careers of their own. If we are to continue to attract the best young men and women to this vital service, then we must try to develop a framework in which spouses of these officers can continue to make use of their own skills during overseas assignments, while helping to further U.S. interests.

There are striking advantages to a well-designed program that would achieve these objectives. It would be cost-effective, making use of valuable resources that now are being ignored or underutilized. It would help the Foreign Service retain personnel in

able training and promote recruitment of the best-qualified people. It would improve morale in missions, where jobs and careers are a leading preoccupation.

There are many openings today in embassies and consulates around the world. There is work to be done and spouses who are eminently qualified to do it. We need a way to get them together.

To provide a constructive solution to this problem, I would like to propose a pilot project for Foreign Service associates. Under this resolution, which carries no expenditure of funds, the Secretary of State would be authorized to design, conduct, and evaluate a program to test appropriate means of increasing employment of qualified spouses in American missions.

The Secretary would be asked to undertake the design phase of the project upon enactment of this legislation, reporting to Congress by February 1, 1986, on the design and on plans for implementation and evaluation.

It is my expectation that such a project would start on a small scale but develop into a more comprehensive program that would attract qualified spouses.

I am confident that such a program would address a serious problem at limited costs with far-reaching benefits to both the morale and the effectiveness of our diplomatic efforts throughout the world. I hope my colleagues will support this amendment.

Mr. President, the amendment has been submitted to both the distinguished chairman of the committee and the ranking minority member and I believe they have approved it.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, indeed we have commended the Senator from Maryland for his longtime interest in the subject of the amendment. The talents of spouses of foreign service personnel and especially of our Ambassadors are manifold. The need to find ways in which each can have a more meaningful life and be of greater service to this country is obvious.

The Senator has proceeded in a constructive way with the pilot project idea. We would advocate its support.

Mr. PELL. Mr. President, I am also familiar with the subject matter of this amendment. I think the approach adopted here with simply a pilot project to examine its merits is an excellent one. We would be very interested in studying the results.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment (No. 298) was agreed to.

Mr. MATHIAS. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. LUGAR. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.